

A theorem on the absence of phase transitions in one-dimensional growth models with on-site periodic potentials

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 2373

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/10/303>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.106

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 09:57

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

A theorem on the absence of phase transitions in one-dimensional growth models with on-site periodic potentials

José A Cuesta and Angel Sánchez

Grupo Interdisciplinar de Sistemas Complicados (GISC), Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain

E-mail: cuesta@math.uc3m.es and anxo@math.uc3m.es

Received 4 December 2001, in final form 16 January 2002

Published 1 March 2002

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/2373

Abstract

We rigorously prove that a wide class of one-dimensional growth models with on-site periodic potential, such as the discrete sine-Gordon model, have no phase transition at any temperature $T > 0$. The proof relies on the spectral analysis of the transfer operator associated with the models. We show that this operator is Hilbert–Schmidt and that its maximum eigenvalue is an analytical function of temperature.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Fh, 02.30.Sa, 68.35.Ct, 81.10.Aj

Mathematics Subject Classification: 82B26, 46N55, 47N55, 47G10

1. Introduction

Physicists educated during the last half century have invariably been taught that one-dimensional systems with short range forces can never have a phase transition. In point of fact, there does not exist a general proof of such a theorem (Lieb and Mattis 1966), and most instances of this statement refer to a theorem proved by van Hove (1950) that applies to a very specific class of models. Indeed, van Hove's result holds only for homogeneous fluid-like models, with pairwise interactions with a hard core and a cut-off, and in the absence of an external field. The same is true for Ruelle's extension of van Hove's theorem to lattice fluids (Ruelle 1989). In particular, external fields can give rise to phase transitions in one-dimensional models, as has been recently shown (Dauxois and Peyrard 1995, Dauxois *et al* 2001).

In this paper, we prove that a wide class of one-dimensional models (specified below) subjected to external fields cannot exhibit phase transitions. As far as we know, this is a completely new result in so far as van Hove's theorem does not apply because, (a) there is an external field, and (b) interaction depends on degrees of freedom other than the distance

between ‘particles’. The models we discuss are especially relevant to thin film growth, as they include, as a particular case, a paradigmatic example in this field, namely the sine-Gordon model. Once again, it is very often claimed that one-dimensional ‘surfaces’ are always rough, but none of the many times this claim is found in the literature is supported by rigorous results; hence the importance of identifying a class of models for which this statement can be proven, as its applicability in general is, at best, dubious (Cuesta *et al* 2001). The work we report in this paper contributes to the advance along this direction by identifying precisely such a family of models and subsequently proving a theorem that guarantees the analyticity of the free energy. The consequences of this result and related comments close the paper.

2. Model

The result reported in this paper is motivated by work on the discrete sine-Gordon (sG) model which, in one dimension, is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{sG}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \{ (h_{i-1} - h_i)^2 + V_0 [1 - \cos(2\pi h_i)] \}. \quad (1)$$

This model was proposed to model surface growth in two dimensions (h_i is understood as the height above site i on a lattice) and shown to have a so-called roughening transition (the surface width becomes infinite above some finite temperature) by Chui and Weeks (1978); see also Weeks and Gilmer (1979). The rationale for such a proposal is the inclusion of the minimal ingredients intervening in the growth process: surface tension (represented by the harmonic interaction) and finite size of the atoms joining the surface (represented by the cosine term that favours integer values for the height). In one dimension, this model has been studied in detail in the late 1970s by means of a transfer operator approach (Gupta and Sutherland 1976, Currie *et al* 1977, Guyer and Miller 1978, Schneider and Stoll 1980); see Tsuzuki and Sasaki (1988) for a review. However, in spite of the fact that the transfer operator calculations are formally exact, the final result is in every case an expression of the partition function (and hence the free energy) in terms of the maximum eigenvalue, which cannot be computed exactly. In addition, most of these studies were concerned with the continuum limit of the sG model, i.e. the limit of the Hamiltonian (1) when the lattice spacing tends to zero. In this limit, approximate results can be obtained for the statistical mechanics of the system which suggest (e.g. Schneider and Stoll 1980) that there are no phase transitions (specifically, that the width is infinite at any non-zero temperature), but by no means constitute a rigorous proof.

In view of the lack of exact results on the existence of phase transitions for the 1D sG model, we set out to establish a theorem for a class of models (including the sG model) as wide as possible. To this end, we consider the systems defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \{ W(h_{i-1} - h_i) + B(h_i) \} \quad (2)$$

where $W(x) = W(-x)$ and $B(x) = B(x + 1)$ is the external field acting on every site (the periodicity being related to the lattice crystalline potential). The choice $W(x) = x^2$ and $B(x) = V_0(1 - \cos 2\pi x)$ corresponds to the discrete sG model (1), but our theorem includes much more general choices, as long as W increases with $|x|$ sufficiently rapidly (see equation (4) and B remains bounded and periodic. To fix the energy scale we may take, without loss of generality, $W(0) = B(0) = 0$.

We assume periodic boundary conditions, i.e. $h_0 = h_N$, and in principle the h_i can take any real value (heights can be anything above or below an absolute reference height). However, for

any configuration of the heights $\{h_1, \dots, h_N\}$ there are countably many other configurations with the same energy, namely $\{h_1+k, \dots, h_N+k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so all of them are equivalent and we can restrict our configuration space to be \mathbb{R}^N modulo this equivalence. This is easily achieved by restricting the set of variables to be $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Having specified precisely the scope of our study, we can now proceed to prove our main result.

3. Analyticity of the free energy as a function of temperature

The partition function for the Hamiltonian (2) is

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dh_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh_N e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} \tag{3}$$

where β is the inverse of temperature in units of the Boltzmann constant. The existence of the partition function is guaranteed if $W(x)$ is such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-\beta W(x)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0. \tag{4}$$

This defines the class of functions $W(x)$ for which the result holds. (Note that cases in which $W(x) = \infty$ for $x > x_0$ are also included.)

Let us introduce the decomposition $h_i = n_i + \phi_i$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < \phi_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$). Obviously $n_1 = 0$. This transforms $\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta)$ into

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta) = \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^N} d\phi \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta B(\phi_i)} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\{-\beta W(n_{i-1} - n_i + \phi_{i-1} - \phi_i)\} \tag{5}$$

where $\phi \equiv (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)$, $\mathbf{n} \equiv (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ and, of course, $n_0 = n_N$ and $\phi_0 = \phi_N$. The Fourier series

$$V(\beta, \phi, \theta) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\beta W(n+\phi)} e^{-in\theta} \tag{6}$$

defines a 2π -periodic function of θ . Condition (4) makes the series (6) converge uniformly in θ for every $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Re}\beta > 0$; hence V is a continuous function of θ . Also, if $W(x) > 0$ for all x sufficiently large, the series converges uniformly in compacts of $\text{Re}\beta > 0$, so by the analytic convergence theorem V is holomorphic in $\text{Re}\beta > 0$.

We can now introduce the formula for the coefficients of (6),

$$e^{-\beta W(n+\phi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta V(\beta, \phi, \theta) e^{in\theta} \tag{7}$$

into equation (5) and make use of the identity $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{inx} = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - 2k\pi)$ to get

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta) = \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^N} d\phi \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta B(\phi_i)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \prod_{i=1}^N V(\beta, \phi_{i-1} - \phi_i, \theta). \tag{8}$$

If we define the integral operator

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta, \theta} f(\phi) \equiv \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\phi' \mathcal{T}_{\beta, \theta}(\phi, \phi') f(\phi') \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta, \theta}(\phi, \phi') \equiv V(\beta, \phi - \phi', \theta) \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{2}[B(\phi) + B(\phi')]\right\} \tag{10}$$

the partition function (8) adopts the simple form

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta})^N. \quad (11)$$

Except for the average in θ , $\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is a transfer operator for this model.

According to the definition (6), $V(\beta, -\phi, \theta) = V(\beta, \phi, \theta)^*$; on the other hand,

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\phi \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\phi' |\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\theta}(\phi, \phi')|^2 < \infty \quad (12)$$

so for every $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ and $\beta > 0$, $\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator in $L^2\left(\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$ (hence compact and Hermitian). The whole spectrum of a compact, Hermitian operator (Young 1988) consists of a finite or infinite sequence of real, isolated eigenvalues, λ_n . If infinite, the sequence tends to zero (which may or may not be itself an eigenvalue). Besides, because of (12), a Hilbert–Schmidt operator also satisfies $\sum_n \lambda_n^2 < \infty$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta})^N = \sum_{n \geq 1} [\lambda_n(\beta, \theta)]^N = m(\beta, \theta) [\lambda_{\max}(\beta, \theta)]^N [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty \quad (13)$$

$m(\beta, \theta)$ being the (finite) multiplicity of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}(\beta, \theta)$ (which is then necessarily positive). Note that the above series converges for any $N \geq 2$.

If we now apply Laplace’s method (de Bruijn 1981),

$$-f(\beta) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \mathcal{Z}_N(\beta) = \max_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} \ln[\lambda_{\max}(\beta, \theta)]. \quad (14)$$

Now, $0 < \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta})^N = |\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta})^N| \leq \operatorname{Tr}|\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta}|^N \leq \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\beta,0})^N$, where $|\mathbb{T}_{\beta,\theta}|$ denotes the integral operator with kernel the absolute value of the kernel (10), and the last inequality follows from the definition (6). This implies that $\lambda_{\max}(\beta, \theta)$ attains its maximum value at $\theta = 0$. But $\mathbb{T}_{\beta,0}$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Jentzsch–Perron theorem for positive operators in Banach lattices (Meyer–Nieberg 1991), so $\lambda_{\max}(\beta, 0)$ has multiplicity 1 for any $\beta > 0$. This being so, the holomorphy of the kernel in $\operatorname{Re}\beta > 0$ implies that of $\lambda_{\max}(\beta, 0)$ (Kato 1995) and hence of $f(\beta)$ in (14).

4. Conclusions

We have proved that the free energy of a class of 1D models for growth of crystalline thin films is analytical for any finite temperature. This mathematical result translates into the physical realm as a strict prohibition of phase transitions in this class of models. To our knowledge, this is the first time that such a theorem is rigorously shown true for these models. Note, however, that the analyticity of the free energy does not exclude the existence of more or less sharp (dynamical) crossovers in the model behaviour: all we prove here is that these systems cannot have a true, thermodynamic phase transition.

A question that the result we are reporting in this paper immediately gives rise to is whether or not the result can be generalized to (a) any one-dimensional model, or at least to (b) any growth model. The answer to (a) is no, as there are well-known and old counterexamples (e.g., Nagle 1968, Kittel 1969; note that these models include forbidden, infinite energy configurations which render their transfer matrices reducible and imply that the Perron–Frobenius (Horn and Johnson 1985) theorem does not apply to them, making possible their phase transitions) and more recent ones (Dauxois and Peyrard 1995, Dauxois *et al* 2001; these models do not have infinite energy configurations, as in our problem); restricting ourselves to growth models, the answer to (b) is also no (Yeomans 1992, Cuesta *et al* 2001). However, the theorem we are reporting here can be extended to include a much wider class of models than

those discussed here. Such a generalization will be the subject of a forthcoming publication (Cuesta and Sánchez 2001).

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Saúl Ares and Raúl Toral for highly valuable discussions, and Antonio García for a critical reading of the manuscript. AS is also grateful to Raúl Toral for his very kind hospitality at IMEDEA (Palma de Mallorca, Spain) where part of this work was done, and to the Facultat de Ciències of the Universitat de les Illes Balears for support of his stay at Palma de Mallorca. This work was supported by the Dirección de Ciencia y Tecnología of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain, through projects BFM2000-0004 (JAC) and BFM2000-0006 (AS).

References

- Chui S T and Weeks J D 1978 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** 733
Cuesta J A and Sánchez A 2001 unpublished
Cuesta J A, Sánchez A, Ares S and Toral R 2001 unpublished
Dauxois T and Peyrard M 1995 *Phys. Rev. E* **51** 4027
Dauxois T, Theodorakopoulos N and Peyrard M 2001 *Preprint cond-mat/0105341*
de Bruijn N G 1981 *Asymptotic Methods in Analysis* (New York: Dover) ch 4
Currie J F, Fogel M B and Palmer F L 1977 *Phys. Rev. A* **16** 796
Gupta N and Sutherland B 1976 *Phys. Rev. A* **14** 1790
Guyer R A and Miller M D 1978 *Phys. Rev. A* **17** 1205
Horn R A and Johnson C R 1985 *Matrix Analysis* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 500ff
Kato T 1995 *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Berlin: Springer) p 368
Kittel C 1969 *Am. J. Phys.* **37** 917
Lieb E H and Mattis D C 1966 *Mathematical Physics in One Dimension* (New York: Academic) p 3
Meyer-Nieberg P 1991 *Banach Lattices* (Berlin: Springer) p 273
Nagle J F 1968 *Am. J. Phys.* **36** 1114
Ruelle D 1989 *Statistical Mechanics: Rigorous Results* (Reading: Addison-Wesley) p 134
Schneider T and Stoll E 1980 *Phys. Rev. B* **22** 5317
Tsuzuki T and Sasaki K 1988 *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **94** 73
van Hove L 1950 *Physica* **16** 137 (reprinted in Lieb and Mattis 1966 p 28)
Weeks J D and Gilmer G H 1979 *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **40** 157
Yeomans J M 1992 *Statistical Mechanics of Phase Transitions* (Oxford: Oxford University Press) p 77
Young N 1988 *An Introduction to Hilbert Space* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) ch 8